# Exhibit 7

# Appeal forms filed June 22, 2015

- Citizens for Responsible Oil and Gas
- Los Padres Forest Watch/Center for Biological Diversity



Appeal Form

County of Ventura • Resource Management Agency • Planning Division

800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, CA 93009 • 805 654-2488 • http://www.ventura.org/tma/planning

	Appeal Number:	PL13-0150
Fo: Board of Supervisors Planning Commission	1	
hereby appeal the decision of the	Planning Commission	, which was given on
June 11	, 20 15 .	
he decision was as follows:		
To grant modified CUP PL13-0150 and requirements of CEQA.	that the addendum to the EIR satisfies the	environmental review
he grounds of appeal are (attach e see attached sheet	xtra sheets as needed):	
	ion making bady take the following act	rion:
Reverse the Planning Commission's de Direct planning staff to prepare either a EIR that addresses the cumulative and/	ion making body take the following act cision regarding CUP PL13-0150 and remated full environmental impact report in compliar for specific potential impacts that are demonstrated a maximum of five years and incorp	nd it to the planning department. nce with CEQA or a supplemental istrated in the appeal.
lame of Appellant Citizens For Res		
Address of Appellant PO Box 114 O		
elephone Number of Appellant: 8	UD-300-4UU1	

Is the appellant a party in the application? No	. If not, state the basis for filing the appeal as an
"aggrieved person."	
(CFROG) is a volunteer watchdog organization ded of Ventura County residents from the impacts of oil directors' hearing to improve the proposed mitigation	licated to the protection of the environment and the health and safety and gas development. We have provided testimony at the planning ns for the project and to the planning commission.
	Signature of Appellant
	6-22·15
Appeal and deposit fee of $\frac{1060}{9}$ of the Ventura County Board of Supervisors) re $\frac{6122}{9}$ , $\frac{15}{9}$	(pursuant to fee schedule specified by Resolution No. 222 ceived by the Planning Division at <u>2-2-2 に</u> (time) on 
	Kim L. Prillhart, Director Ventura County Planning Division  LUTAS VALENCIA

### Grounds for appeal of CUP:PL13-0150 CFROG

1. The Hamp 72 disposal well in the application was still the EPA list of wells being investigated for possible fresh water aquifer intrusion as of the Planning Commission hearing on 6/11/15. The state agency that evaluates aquifers has been negligent.

Therefore it is imperative that Ventura County as the lead agency must do an analysis of the nearby water basins both shallow and deep, determine the capacity of the disposal well and its possible impact on nearby water basins.

- 2. The Drill pad #7 facility is in violation of the Non Coastal Zoning Ordinance as it is too close to the bank of red line stream. (see the Blue Tomorrow report in the record)

  No further wells should be placed on that drill pad without evaluation of the location of the wells,

  See Resources Policy 1.4.2-4
- 3. The authorization for drilling 19 new wells is expired and there have been numerous extensions of time. Since Vintage Oil Company (Now CRC) did not renew its permit to drill 19 new wells after three extensions of time, and now finally the entire CUP is expired, the CEQA process should begin anew with a new EIR, that examines numerous issues not considered in previous EIR's or MND's.
- 4. There is no mention of endangered species on the subject site in the 1983 or 1978 documents and the lead agency has not addressed the presence of several endangered and threatened species in a meaningful way or made any attempt to control (for example) polluted runoff for Steelhead Trout or micro trash for the California Condor.
- 5 Since this a new CUP, the long overdue study of the cumulative effects of oil and gas wells in Upper Ojai Valley must begin. There is substantial evidence of changed conditions. For instance, we are in a record breaking drought and the state of California is in a water emergency. The planning department has stated, without documentation, that the estimate of 10 acre feet of fresh water for drilling would have no impact on fresh water wells in the area. Despite reports of wells going dry, the lead agency has provided no evidence of a review of the current status of water wells in the Upper Ojai area. Thomas Aquinas College depends on the water in Santa Paula Creek and its springs for all water except that for drinking.
- 6. Greenhouse gas emission analysis done by staff is severely flawed, it under estimates true emissions and is inadequate to meet the requirements of the law. There are no mitigations proposed to reduce GHG as required by state and federal executive orders and law.
- 7. The well drilling project has never been authorized for more than a few years. A 30 year CUP with no time table for drilling is not in keeping with any prior time limits for the CUP.
- 8. The pipelines across anta Paula creek from the project to the Hamp lease are not in conformance with requirements. There are no automatic shut off valves to limit the maximum spill to 45 barrels. The end of the pipeline rests directly in the flood plain and could be swept away. The permit called for a properly designed suspension bridge. If the volume will double with approval of this project the risk is even higher.

2:30

9. The site is part of the original Chumash village of Sisa, the largest of the inland village sites and considered by archaeologists to be of the "highest significance". Despite staff's claim that there will be no additional grading, the proposal calls for the road between Drill site #1 and Drill site #2 to be regraded. The archaeological report in the FEIR found that the corridor between drill site one and two is likely to have a high incidence of cultural resources. Not only does this realignment of the road affect the high quality cultural resources that are found here, it could affect and further disturb burial grounds. Drill site 7 has never been evaluated for potential cultural resources and drilling 5 wells will impact at minimum any artifacts directly in the well bore.

## CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE OIL & GAS

#### **Board of Directors:**

John Brooks, President Helen Conly, Secretary Rain Perry, Treasurer Theresa Hartigan Carol Holly

## Advisory Board:

Steve Colome PhD
Leif Dautch
Kevin Hartigan
Richard Holly
Mary Ann O'Connor
Sarah Otterstrom PhD
Vickie Peters
Michael Shapiro
Todd Shuman
Diane Underhill

Tom Williams, PhD





Appeal Form

County of Ventura • Resource Management Agency • Planning Division

800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, CA 93009 • 805 654-2488 • http://www.ventura.org/rma/planning

D113-2150

	Appeal Number: PL13-0100
To:	Board of Supervisors Planning Commission
I hereby appe	eal the decision of the Ventura County Planning Commission , which was given on June 11 , 20 15 .
The decision	was as follows:
	odified Conditional Use Permit No. PL13-0150, certifying an Addendum to previously-prepared al documents, and denying the appeal.
The grounds	of appeal are (attach extra sheets as needed):
Zoning Ordina	does not comply with the California Environmental Quality Act, the County of Ventura Non-Coastal ance, the state and federal Endangered Species Acts, and other state and federal laws and regulations the protection of the environment.
I request that	t the appropriate decision making body take the following action:
evaluate new in Direct the Division existing permits Direct the Division impacts; and	ision to nullify the permit application based on ongoing violations with the terms and conditions of the it; ision to incorporate additional changes to the project to reduce potentially significant environmental opeal, and refund our \$1,000 appeal fee.
Name of App	
	opellant: DD Box 831, Santa Barbara CA 93102   1212 Broadway St Ste 800, Oakland CA 94612
Telephone Nu	umber of Appellant:  805-617-4610 x1   415-632-5307

Is the appellant a party in the application?	No . If not, state the basis for filing the appeal as an
"aggrieved person."	
and near the Los Padres National Forest minimizes had public health and complies with local, state, and for	ng-standing interest in ensuring that oil and gas development in arm to the environment, including impacts to California condors, ederal requirements. To that end, appellants have participated in ewing documents, and/or submitting written comments and
	Signature of Appellant
	6/17/15
	Date
Y	à a
Appeal and deposit fee of \$	(pursuant to fee schedule specified by Resolution No. 222 ived by the Planning Division at 11:23 (time) on
	Kim L. Prillhart, Director
	Ventura County Planning Division
	By ELTAS VALENCIA